

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

ANTHONY C. MCDADE,)	8:14CV98
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	MEMORANDUM
)	AND ORDER
DOUGLAS COUNTY)	
CORRECTIONAL CENTER,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

This matter is before the court on Petitioner's Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis ("IFP"). (Filing No. [2](#).) Habeas corpus cases attacking the legality of a person's confinement require the payment of a \$5.00 fee. [28 U.S.C. § 1914\(a\)](#). Petitioner's trust account information shows that Petitioner's account contained an average monthly balance of \$100 or more for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of the petition. (Filing No. [7](#).) Thus, the court concludes that Petitioner must pay the \$5.00 filing fee because Petitioner has the financial ability to do so. See [28 U.S.C. § 1915\(a\)](#). No further review of this case will take place until the fee is paid.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Petitioner's Motion for Leave to Proceed IFP (filing no. [2](#)) is denied.
2. Petitioner shall have until **May 26, 2014**, to pay the \$5.00 filing fee. Petitioner is warned that if the fee is not paid as required, the court may dismiss this case without further notice.
3. The Clerk of the court is directed to set a pro se case management deadline in this case using the following text: May 26, 2014: deadline for Petitioner to pay \$5.00 filing fee.

4. No further review of this case will take place until the filing fee is paid.

DATED this 15th day of April, 2014.

BY THE COURT:

Richard G. Kopf
Senior United States District Judge

*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.